

"İŞ, GÜÇ" ENDÜSTRİ İLİŞKİLERİ VE İNSAN KAYNAKLARI DERGİSİ
"IS, GUC" INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS AND HUMAN RESOURCES JOURNAL

The Relationship Among Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior -A Research Study On Call Center Employees

Eda KILIÇ

PHD Student, Uludağ University, Institute of Social Sciences, Labor Economics and Industrial Relations Programme

Temmuz/July 2013, Cilt/Vol: 15, Sayı/Num: 3, Page: 83-93 ISSN: 1303-2860, DOI: 10.4026/1303-2860.2013.0233.x

Makalenin on-line kopyasına erişmek için / To reach the on-line copy of article: http://www.isguc.org/index.php?p=article&id=518&cilt=15&sayi=3&yil=2013

Makale İçin İletişim/Correspondence to: Eda Kılıç/ Email: edaakademik@gmail.com



© 2000-2013

"İş,Güç" Endüstri İlişkileri ve İnsan Kaynakları Dergisi "İş,Güç" Industrial Relations and Human Resources Journal

Temmuz/July 2013, Cilt/Vol: 15, Sayı/Num: 3, Sayfa/Page: 83-93, DOI: 10.4026/1303-2860.2013.0233.x

"İş,Güç" Endüstri İlişkileri ve İnsan Kaynakları Dergisi, yılda dört kez yayınlanan hakemli, bilimsel elektronik dergidir. Çalışma hayatına ilişkin makalelere yer verilen derginin temel amacı; belirlenen alanda akademik gelişime ve paylaşıma katkıda bulunmaktır.

"İş,Güç" Endüstri İlişkileri ve İnsan Kaynakları Dergisi'nde,'Türkçe' ve 'İngilizce' olarak iki dilde makale yayınlanmaktadır.
"İş,Güç" Endüstri İlişkileri ve İnsan Kaynakları Dergisi, ulusal ve uluslararası birçok indekste taranmaktadır. (Cabell's Directories, Ebsco Socindex, Index Islamicus, Index Copernicus International, Worldwide Political Science Abstracts, Sociological Abstract, Ulakbim Sosyal Bilimler Veritabanı, ASOS Index)

Editör / Editor in Chief Aşkın Keser (Uludağ University)

Editör Yardımcıları / Co-Editors Şenol Baştürk (Uludağ University) K.Ahmet Sevimli (Uludağ University) Gözde Yılmaz (Marmara University)

Uygulama / Design Yusuf Budak (Kocaeli University)

Tarandığı Indeksler / Indexes
ASOS INDEX
CABELL'S DIRECTORIES
EBSCO SOCINDEX
Index ISLAMICUS
Index COPERNICUS Int.
Sociological Abstract
ULAKBİM Sosyal Bilimler
Veritanı
Worldwide Political Science
Abstracts

Yayın Kurulu / Editorial Board

Dr.Şenol Baştürk (Uludağ University)
Yrd.Doç.Dr.Zerrin Fırat (Uludağ University)
Doç.Dr.Aşkın Keser (Uludağ University)
Prof.Dr.Ahmet Selamoğlu (Kocaeli University)
Yrd.Doç.Dr.Ahmet Sevimli (Uludağ University)
Doç.Dr.Abdulkadir Şenkal (Kocaeli University)
Doç.Dr.Gözde Yılmaz (Marmara University)
Yrd.Doç.Dr.Memet Zencirkıran (Uludağ University)

Uluslararası Danışma Kurulu / International Advisory Board

Prof.Dr.Ronald Burke (York University - Kanada)
Assoc.Prof.Dr.Glenn Dawes (James Cook University - Avustralya)
Prof.Dr.Jan Dul (Erasmus University - Hollanda)
Prof.Dr.Alev Efendioğlu (University of San Francisco - ABD)
Prof.Dr.Adrian Furnham (University College London - İngiltere)
Prof.Dr.Alan Geare (University of Otago - Yeni Zellanda)
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Diana Lipinskiene (Kaunos University - Litvanya)
Prof.Dr.George Manning (Northern Kentucky University - ABD)
Prof.Dr.Mustafa Özbilgin (Brunel University - UK)
Assoc. Prof. Owen Stanley (James Cook University - Avustralya)
Prof.Dr.Işık Urla Zeytinoğlu (McMaster University - Kanada)

Ulusal Danışma Kurulu / National Advisory Board

Prof.Dr. Yusuf Alper (Uludağ University)
Prof.Dr. Veysel Bozkurt (İstanbul University)
Prof.Dr. Toker Dereli (İşik University)
Prof.Dr. Nihat Erdoğmuş (İstanbul Şehir University)
Prof.Dr. Ahmet Makal (Ankara University)
Prof.Dr. Süleyman Özdemir (İstanbul University)
Prof.Dr. Ahmet Selamoğlu (Kocaeli University)
Prof.Dr. Nadir Suğur (Anadolu University)
Prof.Dr. Nursel Telman (Maltepe University)
Prof.Dr. Cavide Uyargil (İstanbul University)
Prof.Dr. Engin Yıldırım (Anayasa Mahkemesi)
Doç.Dr. Arzu Wasti (Sabancı University)

Dergide yayınlanan yazılardaki görüşler ve bu konudaki sorumluluk yazarlarına aittir. Yayınlanan eserlerde yer alan tüm içerik kaynak gösterilmeden kullanılamaz.

All the opinions written in articles are under responsibilities of the authors. The published contents in the articles cannot be used without being cited.

The Relationship Among Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior -A Research Study On Call Center Employees

Eda KILIÇ

PHD Student, Uludağ University, Institute of Social Sciences, Labor Economics and Industrial Relations Programme

Abstract

The aim of this study is identified the relationship among organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior and their dimensions and the affect of the relationship on call center employees. The questionnaire method has been chosen as data collection. The research population has been consisted of call center employees who worked in the Bursa and İstanbul. In the research sample has been consisted of 251 call center employees who is performing a call center in Bursa and three call centers in İstanbul. The study has two parts. In the first part, the conceptual framework of organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior and in the second part, findings and results are ranked as. This research results indicated that a high level positive relation among organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior.

Keywords: Organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior, call center

Introduction

Today, human productivity is directly proportional to the efficiency of organizations. After the industrial revolution efficiency as measured by the amount of product, labor that produces the product was being ignored. In modernized societies, technological developments and changes inproductivity in perspective with each passing day, has led to an understanding of the importance of human factors in productivity.

Production was not limited only to the industrial area, has become especially important in service sectors. This development, increased slightly the importance of the workforce. In particular to ensure customer satisfaction, businesses have become the primary target. To achieve be possible by the creation of special units within the institutional structures. reason, call centers are very important in terms satisfaction. of customer However, this point emerges the importance of call center employees' satisfaction.

Conceptual Framework

In call centers, where the rate of staff turnover is so high. The provision of organizational commitment will be effective in ensuring that customer representatives are less affected by the stresses arising from the nature of the work, as it will be later in raising the employee's levels of organizational citizenship behavior.

Parallel to the concept of commitment, which in its most general sense can be defined as obligations which restrict the freedom of an action, organizational commitment is the power of an individual to identify with and commit to a specific organization. (Mowday-Steers-Porter 1979: 226). The psychological commitment felt by an individual for an organization reflects the acceptance by that individual to embrace or absorb the characteristics or perspectives of that organization (O'Reilly-Chatman 1986: 493). Organizational commitment is a psychological

state which binds an individual to an organization, and ensures a reduction in potential labour turnover (Allen-Meyer 1990: 14). It is the binding or bonding of an individual to an organization (Mathieu-Zajac 1990: 171).

Among the different approaches to organizational commitment, the prominent approach is that of Meyer and Allen. Firstly, Meyer and Allen proposed that a distinction be made between affective commitment and continuance commitment. Meyer and Allen later suggested that there was a third separate component in the form of normative commitment, which reflects an obligation perceived to retain an individual in an organization. (Meyer et.al. 2002). In the research which they carried out in 1991, Meyer and Allen postulated that organizational commitment has a multidimensional structure made up of three components, namely affective commitment, continuance commitment and normative commitment (Meyer-Irving-Allen 1998).

Affective Commitment: This is defined as an emotional approach to identifying with the organization (Meyer v.dğr. 2002). It is the level of employees' organizational commitment which reflects their emotional attachment and devotion to the organization (Meyer-Irving-Allen 1998). Employees who develop a strong emotional attachment remain within the organization in accordance with their own wishes (Noor-Noor 2006).

Continuance Commitment: This refers to the perception that leaving the organization will be costly (Meyer et.al 2002). Continuance commitment is expected to develop in response to conditions which will increase the cost of leaving (eg. "side bets") (Powell-Meyer 2004). Continuance commitment is the type of organizational commitment which employees develop when they feel the need to remain in the organization due to an absence of alternatives or in order to receive a return on the investments they have made in that organization.

Normative Commitment: This is believed to develop in response to social pressure. (Meyer et.al. 2002). Normative commitment reflects the feeling of obligation an employee has in order to remain in the organization (Meyer-Irving-Allen 1998). It is the situation in which employees are committed to an organization because they believe that they have to (Noor-Noor 2006).

The term organizational citizenship behavior (OCB), however, was first used when the subject of "extra-role behaviors", first termed by Barnard in the 1930s, was taken up again. Extra-role behavior occurs when employees act voluntarily in favour of an organization without any kind of expectation for themselves. This behavior type is displayed by employees. (Ölçüm Çetin 2004).

The first people to use the term citizenship in literature were Katz and Kahn (Ölçüm Çetin 2004; Yılmaz-Giderler 2007). According to Katz and Kahn (1977), extra-role behaviors and "supra-role behaviors" facilitate the social flow of organizations and are not directly related to specific job definitions. Examples that may be given of such behaviors are: employees assisting their colleagues, employees' acceptance of new members of staff without any objection, and employees' attempts to reduce conflicts within the organization.

Organ defined OCB as "individual behavior that is discretionary, not directly or explicitly recognized by the formal reward system, and that in the aggregate promotes the effective functioning of the organization" (Kidder-Parks 2001: 942). According to Moorman, Blakely and Niehoff, organizational citizenship behaviors are personal assistance which is important to the progress of work and loyal behaviors displayed by individuals as a result of their devotion to the organization (Yılmaz–Giderler 2007).

OCB has been the subject of a great deal of research. The common conclusion stemming from this research is that OCB is carried out voluntarily rather than obligatorily. This is the result of a desire to assist one's colleagues or the organization and such persons may be defined

as "good soldiers" or "good citizens" (Ölçüm Çetin 2004).

According to Organ, there are three basic features of OCBs. These are (Yılmaz-Giderler 2007);

- OCBs are performed by the employee as a result of personal choice
- OCBs go above and beyond that which is an enforceable requirement of the job description.
- OCBs contribute positively to overall organizational effectiveness.

When approaches to organizational citizenship behavior are examined, although different aspects of OCB are taken up in the studies referred to, the aspects most commonly discussed are those of Organ, Podsakoff and MacKenzie (2006). These aspects are as follows:

Altruism: Discretionary behaviors that have the effect of helping a specific work colleague with an organizationally relevant task or problem.

Conscientiousness: This consists of behaviors that go well beyond the minimum role requirements of the organization.

Courtesy: Forward-looking behaviors displayed by employees which assist colleagues in preventing problems from arising.

Civic Virtue: This refers to behaviors that support the development of the organization.

Sportsmanship: This type of behavior can be defined as a willingness on the part of the employee that signifies the employee's tolerance of less-than-ideal organizational circumstances without complaining.

Moorman, Niehoff and Organ, as a result of their research, established that a significant relationship exists between the affective commitment and continuance commitment aspects and OCB (1993). Organ and studied the relationship between organizational commitment and OCB, and, whilst ascertaining a significant relationship continuance commitment compliance, they did not find a significant relationship between affective commitment and either the altruism or compliance aspects (1995). Bolon, in his study, established an effect of the affective commitment aspect on OCB directed toward the individual, but did not find a significant relationship between continuance commitment and normative commitment (1997).

Meyer et al., included organizational citizenship behavior in the three-component model of organizational commitment that they developed. Whilst stating a significant and positive relationship between the affective and normative aspects organizational of commitment and organizational citizenship they found behavior, that continuance commitment had a negative relationship or that there was no relationship at all with OCB (2002).

Bolat and Bolat, in their examination of the relationship between organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior in the hotel business, suggested that there were significant relationships between all aspects of organizational commitment and OCB but that among the aspects of organizational commitment, the one achieving the strongest relationship with OCB was that of normative commitment (2008). In his study, Güven concluded that several aspects of organizational commitment had a relationship with aspects of organizational citizenship behavior According to this study, affective commitment, particularly, results in the development of organizational citizenship behavior. Altınbaş as a result of his study, concluded that the affective and continuance aspects of organizational commitment had relationships with all aspects of organizational citizenship behavior significant levels (2008).

As can be understood from the researches made, a great number of studies have been carried out on the relationship between

organizational citizenship behavior and organizational commitment and it has been established that when one of the state levels of this relationship increases, so will the other one. The relationship that this study examines and attempts to prove is also in this direction.

The aim of this research is to establish the relationship between organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behaviors and their effects on employees in call centres. Moreover, by establishing the effects of these behaviors on employees, it is also intended to be instructive from the viewpoint of continuance and productivity in organizations.

The research hypothese can be predicted as follow.

Hypothese 1: Organizational commitment will be positive related to organizational citizenship behavior.

Methods

Instruments

As a means of data-collection for the study, an 18-item organizational commitment scale composed by bringing together the affective commitment scale developed by Meyer and Allen in 1991 and the continuance commitment and normative commitment scales developed in 1993, together with an organizational citizenship scale made up of a total of 24 items with questions taken from the aspects developed by Podsakoff et al.(1990) (5 each from the altruism, conscientiousness, sportsmanship and courtesy aspects and 4 from the civic virtue aspect) were used. The items in the scales are of the 7-point likert type, ranging from "I disagree completely" to "I agree completely".

Table 1:Reliability Analysis Results for scales

Scales	Items	N	Mean	s.d	C.Alpha	
Organizational Commitment	16	251	4,37	0,92	0,81	
OCB	24	251	5,08	0,96	0,90	

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Table 1 shows} & \text{that,} & \text{the} \\ \text{scales have reliability} & \text{coefficients} & \text{of} & 0.90 \text{ to} \\ \end{array}$

0.81, all coefficients are within acceptable limits in the social sciences.

Table 2: Distribution of participants in terms of some demographic variables

Sex	N	%
Male	88	35,1
Female	163	64,9
Total	251	100,0
Age	N	%
18–20	27	10,8
21–26	182	72,5
27 +	42	16,7
Total	251	100,0
Marital Status	N	%
Single	218	86,9
Married	31	12,4
Divorced	2	0,7
Total	251	100,0
Education	N	%
Primary Ed.	3	1,2
High school	107	42,6
University	141	56,2
Total	251	100,0
Years with the organization	N	%
1–6 months	113	45,0
7–12 months	85	33,9
13–18 months	15	6,0
19–24 months	26	10,3
25 + months	12	4,8
Total	251	100,0
Working Years	N	%
1–6 years	203	80,9
7–12 years	40	15,9
13 + years	8	3,2
Total	251	100,0

Participants

The questionnaire was carried out by delivering the forms to and later collecting them from call centre employees at a call centre of the in-house type serving in Bursa and at three different call centres of the outsource type serving in Istanbul, one section of them being carried out face to face, the other section being given to team leaders. A total of 400 forms were delivered, 100 being given face to face to call centre employees, of which 78 were completed, and of the questionnaires collected, a total of 251 containing valid data were used for evaluation in this study

Results

64.9% of the call centre employees who took part in the study were females. Relying on

the fact that most of the employees were of the 21-26 age group, we can conclude that a young workforce is employed in call centres. When looking at the other demographic data, it can be seen that 74.1% were single and that 55.8% were university graduates. The fact that 80.9% of call centre employees had had working lives of 1-6 years indicates an inexperienced workforce. 45% of the staff had worked in that organization for 1-6 months.

The Relationship Among Organizational Commitment, Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Their Dimensions are shown in Table 3.

Table 3:The Relationship Among Organizational Commitment, Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Their Dimensions

	Alt.	Cons.	Cour.	Civ.V.	Sport.	OCB	Affec.	Cont.	Norm.	O.Com
Alt.	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Cons.	,694**	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Cour.	,231**	,180**	1	**	**	**	**			**
Civ.V.	,805**	,753**	,258**	1	**	**	**	**	**	**
Sport.	,790**	,700**	,194**	,776**	1	**	**	**	**	**
OCB	,887**	,835**	,483**	,905**	,859**	1	**	**	**	**
Affect.	,391**	,338**	,305**	,354**	,383**	,447**	1	**	**	**
Cont.	,289**	,216**	-,010	,215**	,242**	,238**	,341**	1	**	**
Norm.	,401**	,348**	,101	,314**	,368**	,384**	,592**	,612**	1	**
O.Com	,441**	,370**	,170**	,362**	,407**	,440**	,803**	,765**	,898**	1

^{**.}Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

When examining the relationships between the aspects of organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior, it is seen that there is a positive relationship (0.447)between commitment and organizational citizenship behavior, a positive but weak relationship (0.238) between continuance commitment and OCB, and also a positive but weak relationship (0.384) between normative commitment and OCB. When we examine the relationships formed with organizational citizenship behavior, we can observe that of the aspects of organizational commitment, the one having the strongest relationship compared to the others is that of affective commitment. In this case, when affective commitment increases, organizational citizenship behavior will also increase.

On the other hand, when examining the relationship between the aspects organizational behavior citizenship and organizational commitment, it can be seen that there is a positive relationship (0.441) between altruism and organizational commitment, a relationship (0.370)positive between conscientiousness and organizational commitment, a positive but weak relationship between sportsmanship organizational commitment, positive relationship (0.362) between courtesy and organizational commitment, and a positive relationship (0.407) between civic virtue and organizational commitment. Of the aspects of organizational citizenship behavior, the one strongest relationship with the organizational commitment is that of altruism. According to this, it may be said that organizational commitment increases altruistic behaviors.

When examining the relationships aspects between the of organizational commitment and those of organizational citizenship behavior, it is seen that the organizational citizenship behavior which is most affected by affective commitment (0.391), continuance commitment (0.289) and normative commitment (0.401), is that of altruism. When we examine which aspects of organizational commitment affect the other aspects of organizational citizenship behavior, however, it can be seen that when conscientiousness is displayed, the most influential type of commitment is that of normative commitment (0.348), and that increases in sportsmanship (0.305), courtesy (0.354) and civic virtue (0.383) behavior types are mostly due to the effect of affective commitment. In other compared with the other types of commitment, that of affective commitment has the most powerful effect on all aspects of organizational citizenship behavior with the exception of the conscientiousness aspect. The results can be interpreted so as to say that when affective and normative commitment increase, altruistic behavior will also increase, and that when continuance commitment increases, civic virtue behavior will also increase. Because the internalisation of norms exists as the basis for both normative commitment and conscientious behavior, the fact that when normative commitment increases, conscientious behavior also increases, is a significant result.

Discussion

Call centers has developed in the world the last 50 years, in Turkey in the last 15 years. They provide companies reach customers, customer satisfaction, ensuring customers' problems, requests and complaints access their companies. And this is very important for organization. same At the time call center employees are buffer zone between the company and the customer, and therefore negatively affected.

addition, call center employees have some problems. These problems health problems are; (hearing loss, diseases of the vocal cords), underemployment, limited career opportunities, short-term breaks, alienation etc.. Therefore call center employees will not be able to develop the behavior of organizational commitment.

In this direction a positive relationship among organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior has been proven. First, the demographic variables were investigated. And, correlation analysis were performed to determine the relationship among organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior and sub-dimensions.

Employees with low organizational commitment will tend to leave when they find a new job. This situation brings extra cost, especially for organizations, leads to a lose of time and negatively affects productivity. But employees with high organizational commitment like their job, want to continue to working and are satisfied. Organizations should provide organizational commitment employers' and **OCB** for satisfaction, productivity and continuity. This is important for both organizations employers.

References

- Altınbaş, Burç (2008). Örgütsel Bağlılık ve Örgütsel Vatandaşlık Arasındaki İlişki ve Bir Uygulama, Yıldız Teknik Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, (Yayımlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi), İstanbul.
- Bolat, Oya İ. Bolat, Tamer (2008). Otel İşletmelerinde Örgütsel Bağlılık ve Örgütsel Vatandaşlık Davranışı İlişkisi, Balıkesir Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi, c. 11, p. 19, Haziran, pp.75–94.
- Bolon, Douglas S. (1997). Organizational Citizenship
 Behavior Among Hospital Employees: A
 Multidimensional Analysis Involving Job
 Satisfaction and Organizational
 Commitment, Hospital & Health Services
 Administration, vol. 42, no. 2, Summer, pp.
 221–241.
- Güven, Murat (2006). Örgütsel Bağlılık Ve Örgütsel Vatandaşlık Davranışı Arasındaki İlişki, Marmara Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, (Yayımlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi), İstanbul.
- Katz, Daniel Kahn, Robert L. (1977) Örgütlerin Toplumsal Psikolojisi, çev. Halil Can-Yavuz Bayar, Türkiye ve Orta Doğu Amme İdaresi Enstitüsü Yayınları, nu. 167, Ankara.
- Kidder, Deborah L. Parks Judi M. (2001) "The Good Soldier: Who is S(he)?" Journal of Organizational Behavior, vol 22, pp. 939– 959.
- Mathieu, Joron E. Zajac, Dennis. M. (1990). A Review and Meta-Analysis of the Antecedents, Correlates, and Consequences of Organizational Commitment, *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 171–194.
- Meyer, John P. Allen Natalie J. (1991) A three component conceptualization of organizational commitment, *Human Resource Management Review*, vol.1, no. 1, pp. 61–89.
- Meyer, John P. Irving, Gregory P. Allen, Natalie J. (1998) Examination of the Combined Effects of Work Values and Early Work Experiences on Organizational Commitment, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, vol. 19, no. 1, January, pp.29–52.
- Meyer, John P. et.al. (2002)"Affective, Continuance, and Normative Commitment to the

- Organization: A Meta-analysis of Antecedents, Correlates and Consequences", Journal of Vocational Behavior, vol. 61, no. 1, Agust, pp. 20–52.
- Moorman, Robert. H. Niehoff, Brian. P. Organ,
 Dennis. W. (1993). Treating Employees
 Fairly and Organizational Citizenship
 Behavior: Sorting the Effects of Job
 Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment,
 and Procedural Justice". Employee
 Responsibilities and Rights Journal, vol. 6 no.
 3, pp. 209–225.
- Mowday, Richard T. Steers, Richard M. Porter, Lyman W.(1979). The Measurement of Organizational Commitment, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, no. 14, pp. 224–247.
- Noor, Harun A. K. Noor, Hasrul N. M. (2006).

 Evaluating The Psychometric Properties Of
 Allen And Meyer's Organizational
 Commitment Scale: A Cross Cultural
 Application Among Malaysian Academic
 Librarians", Malaysian Journal of Library &
 Information Science, vol. 11, no. 1, July, pp.
 89–101.
- Organ, Dennis W. Podsakoff, Philip M. MacKenzie, Scott B. (2006) Organizational Citizenship Behavior: Its Nature, Antecedents, and Consequences, SAGE Publications.
- Organ, Dennis W. Ryan, Katherine (1995). A Meta-Analytic Review of Attitudinal and Dispositional Predictors of Organizational Citizenship Behavior, *Personel Psychology*, vol. 48, no. 4, Winter, pp. 775–802.
- O'Reilly, Charles Chatman, Jennifer (1986).

 Organizational Commitment and
 Psychological Attachment: The Effects of
 Compliance, Identification, and
 Internalization on Prosocial Behavior,
 Journal of Applied Psychology, no. 71, pp. 492–
 499.
- Ölçüm Çetin, Münevver (2004). Örgütsel Vatandaşlık Davranışı, Nobel Yayın Dağıtım, Ankara.
- Podsakoff, Philip M. et.al.(1990). Transformational Leader Behaviors and Their Effects on Followers' Trust in Leader, Satisfaction, and Organizational Citizenship Behaviors, Leadership Quarterly, vol. 1, pp. 107-142.
- Powell, Deborah M. Meyer, John P. (2004). Sidebet Theory and the Three-component Model of Organizational Commitment, *Journal of*

- Vocational Behavior, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 157–177.
- Wiener, Yoash (1982). Commitment in Organizations:

 A Normative View, *The Academy of Management Review*, vol. 7, no. 3, July, pp. 418–428.
- Yılmaz, Abdullah Giderler, Ceren (2007). Örgütsel Vatandaşlık Davranışı Üzerine Kuramsal Bir İnceleme, *EKEV Akademi Dergisi*, p. 30, Yıl 11, Kış, pp. 263–278.